Regional Park District

## ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PARK DISTRICT



> Transition to new General Manager, Sabrina Landreth

```
Staff considering pilot projects and education campaigns in various parks including Briones
```


## TRAIL CONFLICTS

break down how people
use the trails and what
makes them like coming
out to our parks and trails
Lack of appropriate
warning from
mountain bikers,

many complaints $\quad$| There has been pent- |
| :--- |
| up frustration |

Can you describe the issues?

Much new signage and education; sent special enforcement to Briones, many citations

New user groups appearing on trails; damage, misbehavior, fireworks, etc.

District: Briones,etc: public safety action re. mountain biking

Thanks Captain Brede and Devan for mentioning the bike issues in Briones and elsewhere.

## District: There has been an

 increase in complaints during the pandemic, but the complaints have spiked since the TUWG was convened.Emails from users, many equestrians throughout District

> Increase in new users, many users trying to use trails at same time
Is this rise in complaints due to
an increase in actual conflicts
on the trails or was there a call
by equestrians to have people
make complaints to the park
district?
(As we know, Sierra Club and
California Native Plant Society
both put out a call to their
members after the last TUWG to
email the EBRPD with concerns
about narrow trail use.)

Not only complaints, but calls for more trails and more access.

MTB community is working with the district on implementing a bike bell station program as a component to educate and reduce conflict

## 

EBRPD Trails User Working Group Meeting \#4
April 22, 2021
Meeting Focus: Equestrians

## TRAIL CONFLICTS (continued)

| Request to define <br> citations | Citations: district sets fine <br> for violations; additional <br> associated fees - can <br> range from $\$ 300-\$ 500$ <br> depending on jurisdiction |
| :---: | :---: |
| Question: how many <br> citations are dismissed <br> due to lack of | District: Tough question <br> to answer, but this is <br> why it's imperative to |
| information, etc.? What is |  |
| actual conviction rate? |  |$\quad$| work w/operations and |
| :---: |
| ASD to ID illegal trails |

Was hiking in Shell Ridge last week. Lots of bikes on non-bike trails...

BUT there was no signage saying bikes not allowed.

There are many conflicts; bulk of complaints from equestrian community
and to get out to provide enforcement; clear signage helps get
conviction
that the large wit programs in place has serious issues with equity,

> In the world of traffic engineering, we talk about the 3 " E "..... Education, Engineering and Enforcement....and that could apply to trails as well.

The increase in complaints is in part due to reminders from equestrian groups to make the parks aware of any issues on the trails.

Trail runner volunteer safety patrol alerted and educated

## 

## EBRPD Trails User Working Group Meeting \#4

April 22, 2021
Meeting Focus: Equestrians

## TRAIL CONFLICTS (continued)

Can data on the citations be issued be supplied to the TUWG? Such as the offense being cited, the date, time, park?

Is there an increase in eBike conflicts and/or accidents?

District: Due to the increase We realize that enforcement
in complaints in certain areas and the nature of the complaints, our police have been directed to take some action.

There has been a long history of mountain bike use and user conflicts in Marin and in the Mid-Peninsula Open Space, and they have worked out many of these issues.
is just one part of the solution, but we perceived an unsafe situation in Briones that needed to be put in check.

In my limited experience there, there is a higher public consciousness in both education (using bell, calling out) and many people calling out the rules to those who don't follow them.
(Sometimes crankily and unnecessarily IMO) Perhaps we could reach out to them for their experiences.

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES

## PARK DISTRICT FRAMING

120 miles of paved trails, 200 miles unpaved which are main focus for this
group

Horseback riding requires a certain trail design

Horseback riding allowed on 114 miles roughly 57\% (twice as much as bicycles)

Many different equestrian facilities in parks

Certain parks designated as equestrian parks

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES (continued)

PRESENTATION 1: Marie Grisham, Tri-Cities and California State Horsemen's Associations
Long-time horse
owner and rider, state
chari for trail rider's
awards program
Good news:
designated parking
being added at one
park

[^0]```
    Track trail usage (by
    hours) throughout
state, have database
    with info
```


## Careful not to block

 cars; would appreciate same courtesy from car drivers!Bell boxes provided on trails

## Equestrian access to parks: can be

 challenging, entrances may not accommodate large trailersTrail sharing solutions in other parks include: separate trails for equestrians and/or hikers

Joint trail user events joint ride/hike/bike, discuss experiences; learn how to interact safely and courteously

Due to this, parks sometimes not as well used by equestrians as they might be

## Shared equestrian

hiker/trails that are wider with margins for riders

Often restricted or no parking for trailers, extra cost, lack of room to manuever; signage lacking

Marin Hills: Uphill trails for cyclists; trails designed to slow users down; no cyclists on narrow hazardous trails

EBRPD Trails User Working Group Meeting \#4
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Meeting Focus: Equestrians

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES <br> (continued)

## PRESENTATION 2: Elizabeth Hudson, EBRPD Volunteer Mounted Patrol, Walnut Creek Open Space Advocate, San Ramon Valley Horsemen's Assn

| Enforcement and Trail |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Equestrians' expectations on <br> the trail: in past - safe from <br> vehicles, startling events, safe <br> for children and novice riders |
| Bikes can spook horses, <br> appear quickly, make it <br> unsafe; line of sight <br> crucial | Dangerous <br> conditions, should <br> ride with a partner |
| Most bikers are <br> courteous and safe, <br> community provides <br> education | But they cannot <br> control all - why <br> enforcement is <br> needed |
| Stakeholders agreed on new <br> bike flow trail, standards for <br> shared trails, processes for <br> requests / change in trail <br> designation, designated <br> nature trails | Increased signage and <br> user education, rangers <br> have authority to cite, <br> will block off rogue trails, <br> restore damage |


| Present-day realities: <br> bikes / e-bikes on ALL <br> trails even those <br> signed "No Bikes" | Equestrians most <br> likely to be injured |
| :---: | :---: |
| Increased vigilance | Multi-use trails require <br> CONSISTENT law <br> necessary |
| enforcement, <br> increased resources |  |
| Horses well trained in | Equestrian advocacy in <br> desensitizing, trust but <br> no horse can be <br> trained to be 100\% <br> "bombproof" |
| 2-year process - balance <br> between conservation and <br> safe usage |  |

Present-day realities:
bikes / e-bikes on ALL trails even those signed "No Bikes"

Multi-use trails require CONSISTENT law enforcement, increased resources

## Equestrian advocacy in

 2year process balance 2-year process - balance safe usageEquestrians most likely to be injured

## 



Horses well trained in esensitizing, trust but no horse can be
"bombproof"

[^1]Space for all gaits; riding
solo safely; friendly
encounters with other trail
users; wildlife sightings,
ability to enjoy nature

Verbal aggression, assaultive bike riding, etc.; wildlife avoids bike areas

> Good behavior from all includes only riding on designated trails

Remember, hikers have access to all trails

Increased signage and user education, rangers have authrity to cite, restore damage

Equestrians' expectations on the trail: in past - safe from vehicles, startling events, safe

But they cannot control all - why needed

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES (continued)

## PRESENTATION 3: Amelia Marshall, EBRPD Volunteer Trail Safety Patrols

Equestions who ride
EBRPD trails surveyed
on important issues
HS mountain biking
coaches have
encouraged illegal
racing on trails

Asked what parks they ride in; mostly major equestrian parks

E-bikes are a new issue; some offensive behavior

Majority agree: bikes should not be allowed on narrow trails with horses

## Some classes of e-

 bikes are considered assistive devices for disabled, legal on all trailsNarrow trails: not safe or enjoyable for multiuse; not perceived as multi-use, become bike trails

Must ensure that nondisabled e-bike riders don't deny safe access; disabled also ride horses

Fire roads have historically worked well for bikes and horses to share; room for groups to pass each other

Case for multi-use trails vs. case for separate trails: latter is way to go in new parks

PRESENTATION 4: Gary Fitts, Tilden-Wildcat Horsemen's Association
All users can and
must work together
Solutions: sharing,
seperated traffic and
more

> Equestrians face many threats on trails; pressing concern is trail safety

## Equestrians and bikers

 can support each other; examples of solutions providedCyclists also have issues, access most immediate concern

Are meeting and working togther to propose collaborative solutions

Way forward is collaboration between user groups
email join@saferiding.org

With careful planning, trail system can be both safe and accessible

More to discuss, hope to provide more information in email group - trail design, education, etc.

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES (continued)

## GROUP COMMENTS

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Great presentation, } \\ \text { thank you! }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very good } \\ \text { information in these } \\ \text { presentations, thank } \\ \text { you }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Our trails are popular } \\ \text { with users every few } \\ \text { yards- I go for peace } \\ \text { and quiet. }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { If everyone had a bell } \\ \text { going, it would be like } \\ \text { being in a }\end{array}\right\}$

## Are any of the horse

 facilities accessible to people with disabilities?
## What is the method that you pay for parking? Is this at specific parking areas within the equestrian parks?

I'm not bright enough to understand the triangular sign at a glance. We might think about re-doing that...

Mutiple people agree that trianglar sign is confusing, often not recognized or understood

I was just speaking about this with friends - let's get some UX/UI redesign from our tech community on this
sign! It is very hard to
understand at a glance!

Yield sign - speak to horses helps

## Those three horses

 have come down to Point Isabel for Pups and Ponies meet-andgreets. Very valuableGiven the current climate with law enforcement, I think it is important to consider POC opinions on increased law enforcement
and whether it is a solution that is desireable or would push people to feel unsafe in parks.

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES (continued)

## GROUP COMMENTS

The EBRPD 2011 Narrow

| Trail study noted that |
| :---: |
| it much easier to |
| while it is hard to change |
| design new trails and |
| established usage on |
| share usage |


| existing trails, |
| :---: |$\quad$| successfully and safely. |
| :--- |

At the start of the meeting we saw a breakdown of the percentage of trails that were open to horses but are there any statistics that shows of all trail users what percentage use horses?

To the moderators, I feel like the information in this survey is a great starting point but I do not believe that this survey follows proper survey design techniques

District: we do not have that data that I am aware of. Most of the data is observational or word of mouth from the community on where folks
like to go.
that should be implemented to get starting point data for understanding conflicts for the group. I think it could be worth it to do a real, system wide survey

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Walnut Creek defines } \\
& \text { narrow trails as less } \\
& \text { than } 8 \text { feet, and thus } \\
& \text { bikes are excluded } \\
& \text { from almost all trails. }
\end{aligned}
$$

But bikes go on all trails, because, at least in Lime Ridge, there is basically no signage indicating bikes are not allowed.

Thanks. For me this seems like a very useful thing to know because for equity purposes it might help determine how many trails should be designated as only for horses.

In Amelia's survey, the definition of narrow trails that people voted on had been posted there weeks earlier, but was not included as part of the survey question.

District: The challenge we face is how to collect that data scientifically and accurately given the amount of trail mileage we have.

Unfortunately, Amelia's survey is extremely unscientific, unrepresentative at best, that was conducted on her FB Page Horse
which excluded at least one member. maybe more, who dared to express a differing viewpoint.

It leads me to think the best way to determine something like that would be to engage with the community and
listen.

## Possibly we could marshal

 LOTS of volunteers to do some scientific surveys?Coordinated and managed by EBRPD, ofcourse

[^2]The award winning Ponti Ridge Trail is an area with no staging area, and no nearby stables.

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES (continued)

## GROUP COMMENTS

Is this group's focus on
unopened properties/
design for new trails in
areas currently not
open?

I believe there is much more park usage than noted in the graph. For example, Sunol and Round Valley are heavily used by equestrians.

Yes, focus on landbank properties or new trails in existing properties

## Six-day equestrian trail

 ride; all kinds of trails,only one close encounter with issues

> Need clarification on what the landbank properties are

500 equestrians on trail for multiple days w/o other incidents

Today was full great topics. I like how everybody got to share their focus but we need more. Only five or fewer parks have been built?

Conflicts are not the dominant experience

On newly-built shared trails, both narrow and wide, for a safe and enjoyable ride, hikers and equestrians want:

Clear sight lines to see other users from
afar


Education to sensitize users to the needs of other user groups

[^3]On narrow trails, occasional places to pull off to allow other users, including other equestrians

## EQUESTRIAN'S PERSPECTIVES (continued)

## GROUP COMMENTS

With reference to the
illegal bike racing on
trails encouraged by
HS coaches:

We clocked an e-bike going 37 mph on a city street.

The Piedmont High School situation was addressed promptly and the coach apologized for promoting this event.

## I would actually rather meet a motorcycle on a trail than a bike or e-bike.

This was not a sanctioned event by the NorCal High School Cycling League and was an isolated event.

E-bikes actually very rarely if ever exceed 15 miles per hour on trails.

Where they routinely exceed other bike speeds, it is on uphill stretches. Not that I love e-bikes at all.

You and your horse can hear the motorcycles coming and get prepared by getting off the trail if possible and turning to face the oncoming vehicle.

Lafayette Reservoir has designated times for bikes.

Regional Park District

## 

EBRPD Trails User Working Group Meeting \#4
April 22, 2021
Meeting Focus: Equestrians

## BREAKOUT GROUP DISCUSSIONS

1. What are some of the goals that equestrians have in using trails?
2. What are some of the issues that equestrians experience and/or create on trails?

Discussions focused on solutions
3. What do you think would improve trail user experience?
(Question 3)

## GROUP 1: Reporter - Scott Bartlebaugh

| Planning: design <br> items, speed slowing, <br> pinch points, etc. | Management system <br> with times for <br> alternating uses |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bell system is a good | Emphasize uses in <br> parks that would <br> benefit those users <br> most |

## GROUP 2: Reporter - Helen Burke

Some disagreement
re. whether trail
design / management
measures work

Some felt separate trails for bikes is best solution

Look at flow patterns and design trails for uses

Planning for trails, especially in existing parks - land use planning amendment process needs to be used

Design new trails for uses instead of just adopting what's there

## Single-use trails (bikes

 and horses) in addition to shared trails / designated time for usesFocus on planning trails for new parks vs. looking at conflicts in existing parks

> Before planning for future trails in landbank areas, need to discuss handling current trail conflicts

## Some feeling that

 bikes, equestrians and hikers on same trail don't mix
## 
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## BREAKOUT GROUP DISCUSSIONS (continued)

## GROUP 3: Reporter - Sean Dougan

## Equestrians seeking a safe, peaceful and stress-free experience

Solutions: signage saying horses on trail and how to interact; same with bikes

Designate sections of land within parks for certain uses rather than just trails

Need horse trail brochure like bike loop brochure


Web of trails complicated to enforce and manage
Issues: casual or
untrained users
unfamiliar with
ettiquette, self-
enforcement

Mitigation or permit fees for certain uses to help with increased maintenance costs, etc.

Trail design standards
Better locks on gates, improved fencing

## for different uses

Bike use higher impact than horses - faster, more focused on what you're doing rather than looking around

More trails and separate trails for bikes; horses and hikers can share

than horses - faster,
more focused on what
you're doing rather than
looking around
some parks be entirely bike-free?

## 
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## BREAKOUT GROUP DISCUSSIONS (continued)

## GROUP 4: Reporter - John Graves / Bonnie Lewkowicz

Think holistically
about all uses when
designing new trails
or parks

Would be especially helpful for new users
Historically parks
were built without
many uses in mind

Create enough space at multi-use parks so there are trails for specific uses

Hope that people will follow rules, but designated trails will help reduce conflicts

In interim, need "bestof" list on District website for specific uses - what's best for horses, etc.

GROUP 5: Reporter - Simone Nageon de Lestang

| Dispersion and better <br> trail design could <br> really help | Open more trails to <br> allow dispersion for <br> specific uses |
| :---: | :---: |
| Not understanding <br> the yield sign, not <br> knowing how to <br> interact with horses | Increasing proper <br> management in new <br> trails |


$\left.$| Use more creative |
| :---: | :---: |
| management |
| techniques for excess |
| use during COVID |$\quad$| Lack of education |
| :---: |
| around right-of-way |
| issues: | \right\rvert\,

## East Bay Regional Park District

## 

## EBRPD Trails User Working Group Meeting \#4

## April 22, 2021

Meeting Focus: Equestrians

## MISC. COMMENTS

The Bay Area Ridge Trail is a multi-use trail, that runs through most of our most popular

East Bay Parks.

We would like to ensure continuity of this trail that connects East Bay Parks to the rest of the Bay Area as a sustainable, multi-use trail

## NEXT STEPS

## FUTURE MEETINGS

Presenter at meeting
on dog walking will
comment on new
accquisitions related to
dog walking

CA State Horsemen's working on trail ettiquette packet; would be happy to share

District: Adding July meeting to allow for additional user
groups

Propose meeting June, July, August,

Sept.

Hear from
conservationists, youth, Will reach out to user cultural affinity groups, groups dog walkers, disabled
celebrate trails as any year but a great way for all type of user, please join us for Ridge to Bridges. together and enjoy our beautiful trail system!
https://ridgetrail.org/ridge-to-bridges-2021/

| Unfortunately virtual this |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| year but a great way for all | https://ridgetrail.org/ridge- |
| user communities to come | to-bridges-2021/ |
| together and enjoy our |  |
| beautiful trail system! |  |

## EBRPD Trails User Working Group Meeting \#4

April 22, 2021
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## NEXT STEPS

FUTURE MEETINGS (continued)

| June 10th will not <br> work for conservation <br> community | Conservation <br> community should <br> have full meeting, <br> equal amount of time | l'd like the <br> conservationists to <br> have the same time <br> as bikes and horses. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Must resolve meeting <br> schedule quickly; will <br> meet soon and | Perhaps the disabled <br> community and dog walking <br> advocates can put our <br> heads together and get <br> back to you (quickly) re: | whether we could <br> adequately cover our info <br> in a joint session |
| schedule, inform group |  |  |


[^0]:    Bells 4 Bikes - horses can hear from $1 / 4$ mile or more; successful programs implemented throughout California

[^1]:    Stakeholders agreed on new bike flow trail, standards for shared trails, processes for requests / change in trail nature trails

[^2]:    Equetrians still Use Bill's Trail. An
    Equetrians still Use Biir's Trail. An
    important and outspoken equestrian important and outspoken equestrian
    advocate from Marin County wrote to me recently that "Bill's Trail [om Samuel P Taylor State Park) is generally considered the gold standard to which all land managers should refer when designing multi-use trails."

    This is because of about 100 pinch points that narrow the trail in places, and embedded rocks protruding above the ground at turns on the trall. making it impossible to ride quickly, as shown in the photos Itook there at httpsil/
    photos.app.goo.g/5s.JPUVVUY7JYPaats

[^3]:    Clear signage as to what uses are allowed, and enough nearby options for bicyclists that they are not tempted to ignore that signage.

